# MANAGEMENT ON ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION OF WATER FROM AGRICULTURAL SITES IN PATHEINGYI TOWNSHIP, MANDALAY REGION BY USING BIOSORBENTS (CORN COB POWDER AND ACTIVATED CORN COB CHARCOAL)

Wai Hnin Phyu Phyu<sup>1</sup>, Win Win Khaing<sup>2</sup>, Khaing Khaing Kyu<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

In this research, the paddy growing surrounding area in Patheingyi Township Mandalay Region was chosen to study the environmental contamination by water from agricultural sites. Some physicochemical parameters such as pH, temperature, conductivity and turbidity were directly measured by respective apparatus. The alkalinity of each water sample was determined by acid-base titration. The total hardness of water samples was determined by EDTA titrimetric method. Nitrogen content, phosphate content, chemical oxygen demand, biochemical oxygen demand, and dissolved oxygen were also determined. The compositions of the metals such as iron, lead, cadmium and copper which can cause pollution to water were investigated by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. To reduce the pollutants in water samples by adsorption, corn cob powder was chosen as adsorbent. In addition, corn cob sample was activated with H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. The elemental compositions of adsorbent samples were examined by Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) analysis and the surface morphology of adsorbents was characterized by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The collected water samples were treated by filtration using the prepared adsorbents to reduce the extent of contamination of water from agricultural sites. **Keywords:** physicochemical parameters, corn cob powder, agricultural sites, elemental

compositions

#### Introduction

Water is the most common or major substance on earth, covering more than 70 % of the planet's surface. All living things consist mostly of water (Kumar, 2006). Its usage for many purposes such as drinking, cooking, agricultural, transport, industry and recreation immediately show the extent to which it is integral part of our life. Water is also required in industries for power generations, navigations, irrigation of crops and disposal of sewage etc. There is no doubt that fertilizers increase yields of crops around the world. Fertilizers and pesticides both have definite advantages and disadvantages associated with their use. The main problems associated with agriculture are salinization, nitrate and pesticide contamination. Irrigation has enlarged the land area available for crop production but the resulting salinization which has occurred in some areas has caused the deterioration of previously fertile soils (Bartram and Balance, 1996). Natural fertilizers are more preferable than chemical fertilizers by plants. However different kinds of chemical fertilizers are usually used to give good yield depending on growing of crops. The major or macro-nutrients in inorganic fertilizers are nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium are considered macronutrients, and boron, calcium, chlorine, copper, iron, magnesium manganese among others are micronutrients (Hegde, 2009). Though the plants require three essential elements, nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus, seed bearing plants such as paddy require good amount of phosphorus and also potassium.

Contamination of soil and water through human and industrial waste and agrochemicals is a universal problem and a major issue in developing countries. Unrestrained industrial and domestic waste in urban and rural situation and pollution of reservoirs caused by agrochemical runoff are an increasing concern (Wimalawansa and Wimalawansa, 2014). The excessive use of inorganic fertilizers causes serious environmental degradation, resulting in lower crop yields. Farmers were supplied with chemical fertilizers and pesticides at a subsidized price. Farmers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr, Assistant Lecturer, Department of Chemistry, Monywa University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dr, Associate Professor, Department of Chemistry, Bamaw University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Dr, Professor, Department of Chemistry, University of Mandalay

increased the frequency of fertilizer applications to enhance yields. These practices are still used and have caused significant environmental degradation (Rahman and Zhang, 2018). The running water from agricultural sites can be rich with inorganic substances and hydrocarbons. Using chemical fertilizers can change the acidity or basicity of a soil and also can affect on the water of agricultural sites. Therefore this research was carried out to study the extent of pollution of water from agricultural sites in Patheingyi Township, Mandalay Region and to reduce the pollution by treatment with waste corn cob powder and activated waste corn cob powder.

### **Sample Collection**

## **Materials and Methods**

The sample was collected from agricultural sites in Gway-Gyi-Gon Village, Patheingyi Township, Mandalay Region. Seven water samples were collected once every two days within two weeks from the common drainage after using fertilizer.

### **Determination of Some Physicochemical Parameters of Water Samples**

Some Physicochemical parameters of water samples were determined by the respective procedures. pH was determined by a pH meter (KM 200, England), conductivity by conductivity meter, turbidity by nephelometric method and total dissolved solids by gravimetric method. Alkalinity and hardness of water samples were determined by titrimetric method. Ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen and phosphate were determined by spectrophotometric method.

### **Determination of Organic Pollutants in Collected Water Samples**

The organic pollutants of water samples such as, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) were determined according to the previously described in method (APHA, 2005).

## Determination of Elemental Compositions in Water Samples by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy

The content of metals such as iron, cadmium, lead, copper, and manganese in water samples were examined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometric method, at Department of Chemistry, Taunggyi University.

## Preparation of Biosorbents, Corn Cob Powder and Activated Corn Cob Charcoal

The biomass corn cob was collected from local market, Monywa Township, Sagaing Region. Firstly, the collected samples were washed with water and immersed in boiling water for 3 h. Then the samples were allowed to dry and crushed into small pieces and then into a powder. The dried powder was sieved with a 120-mesh sieve. The resulting samples were used through the research work.

For preparation of activated corn cob the samples were pyrolyzed in a muffle furnace in absence of air at 500 to 600°C for 2 h. After pyrolysis, the activation was carried out by impregnation of the corn cob samples with phosphoric acid in a ratio of 1:2 (w/w) for 24 h, and then washed with distilled water several times until neutral. It was dried in oven at 100°C for 2 h. **Determination of Relative Abundance of Elements in Corn Cob Powder an Corn Cob Activated Charcoal by EDXRF** 

The elemental compositions of sample powders were examined by using Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) spectrophotometer.

# Characterization of Corn Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

The sample was examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM) for a visual inspection of external porosity and morphology.

# Treatment of Water Samples by Adsorption Using Corn Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

The water samples collected from agricultural sites on September 2018 which was polluted by cadmium and lead were treated by adsorption using corn cob powder and activated corn cob as adsorbents.

The water samples were treated by using corn cob powder by column filtration method. 500 mL of each sample was added into the 1000 mL column. Before adding the water sample, the column was filled with cleaned and dried sand at the lower part at a height of about 20 cm and then corn cob powder with the height of 20 cm was placed above the sand. The water sample which had passed the corn cob powder was treated again by column filtration already filled with corn cob powder adsorbent. The filtration process was carried out totally three times.

In addition, the water samples were also treated by activated corn cob charcoal. Treatment process was carried out as the same procedure described in corn cob powder as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Treatment of water sample by column filtration process

### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 shows the physicochemical parameters in water samples before treatment. By studying the results, most of the measured parameters were over the limit of EPA standard. pH of the water samples were found to be alkaline. Conductivity values were in the range of  $340 \mu \text{Scm}^{-1}$ to  $501\mu$ Scm<sup>-1</sup> and below the permissible limit. The water samples from agriculture sites were turbid with turbidity values of 25.00 NTU to 67.40 NTU. Total dissolved solids are in the range of 460 mg/L to 720 mg/L. The palatability of drinking water has been rated, by panels of tasters, according to TDS levels as follows: excellent, less than 300 mg/L, between 600 mg/L and 900 mg/L, poor and unacceptable, greater than 1200 mg/L (Bruvold and Ongerth, 1969). The TDS value were found to be within range of 460 mg/L and 720 mg/L and poor level according to literature. In the present study, the total alkalinity values of the water samples were between 180 mg/L and 400 mg/L. Hardness values were in the range of 100 mg/L to 380 mg/L and observed to be higher than the permissible limit. If the alkalinity is less than the hardness, then salts of calcium and magnesium are present in association with sulphate, chlorides, or nitrates. In the water samples from agriculture sites  $PO_4^{3-}$  contents were higher than the permissible limits. Conductivity, turbidity, TDS, alkalinity, hardness, concentration of NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> were found to gradually decrease during the study period of seven days.

	_			,	Sample	S			WHO	EPA*
Parameter	Unit	Day	Day	Day	Day	Day	Day	Day	*	*
		Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	(2011)	(2003)
pН	-	7.8	7.9	8.0	7.8	7.7	7.9	7.8	8.2-8.8	6.5-8.5
Temperature	-	28.9	28.6	28.6	28.7	28.7	28.9	28.6	-	-
Conductivity	$\mu$ Scm <sup>-1</sup>	501	447	402	380	347	340	388	600	-
Turbidity	NTU	67.40	60.00	58.00	49.01	38.11	33.00	25.00	10	-
TDS	mg/L	720	706	680	630	510	500	460	500	500
Alkalinity	mg/L	400	300	400	380	180	200	260	500	30-150
Hardness	mg/L	380	260	220	100	120	140	160	200	90-100
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/L	23.2	24.0	2.6	8.2	21.6	9.5	7.5	50	1.0
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/L	0.35	0.29	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.5	0.5
$PO_4^{3-}$	mg/L	0.33	0.26	0.24	0.21	0.18	0.10	0.10	0.02	0.12

**Table 1 Some Physicochemical Parameters in Water Samples before Treatment** 

\* Maximum permissible limit of drinking water quality (WHO, 2011)

\*\* United States Environmental Protection Agency for domestic water (USEPA, 2005)

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers

By observation of the results shown in Table 2, it can be seen that the dissolved oxygen level of water sample (Day I) was 1.7 mg/L and was the lowest among samples collected on other days. The DO levels of all water samples were lower than standard values. BOD values were 6.2 mg/L on the Day I and gradually decreased to 2.0 mg/L on Day VII. BOD values except that of Day 1 sample was lower than WHO and EPA standard values. Furthermore, chemical oxygen demand was in the range of 10.06 mg/L and 4.9 mg/L. All COD values except Day VII were higher than EPA standard.

 

 Table 2 Results of Some Organic Pollutants in Water Samples after Using Fertilizers in September 2018

					Sample	s			<b>WUO</b> *	<b>FDA *</b> *
Parameter	Unit	Day I	Day II	Day III	Day IV	Day V	Day VI	Day VII	(2011)	(2003)
DO	mg/L	1.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.0	3.8	4.0	-	4-6
BOD	mg/L	6.2	4.3	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	6	5
COD	mg/L	10.6	9.3	7.8	7.6	7.0	6.8	4.9	10	5

\* Maximum permissible limit of drinking water quality (WHO, 2011)

\*\*United States Environmental Protection Agency for domestic water (USEPA, 2005)

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers

Table 3 shows the elemental compositions of water samples determined by AAS after using fertilizers. The elements determined were iron, copper, lead and cadmium. It was observed that the elements which can cause pollution to water were present in all tested water samples collected. However, these elements were below the permissible limit of EPA standard.

Samples							-wuo*			
Element	Unit	Day I	Day II	Day III	Day IV	Day V	Day VI	Day VII	(2011)	EPA** (2003)
Fe	mg/L	0.002	0.020	0.010	0.021	0.023	0.009	0.010	2.0	0.30
Cu	mg/L	0.095	0.011	0.092	0.011	0.018	0.001	0.015	0.05	1.00
Pb	mg/L	0.003	0.015	0.010	0.001	0.002	0.010	0.011	0.01	0.05
Cd	mg/L	0.001	0.013	0.011	0.002	0.012	0.002	0.012	0.003	0.01
Κ	mg/L	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.092	0.05	0.05

 Table 3 Elemental Compositions of Water Samples after Using Fertilizers by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy before Treatment

\* Maximum permissible limit of drinking water quality (WHO, 2011)

\*\*United States Environmental Protection Agency for domestic water (USEPA, 2005)

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers

### Relative Abundance of Elements in Corn Cob Powder and Activated Corn Cob Charcoal

Table 4 shows the relative abundance of elements in corn cob powder and activated corn cob charcoal. From the EDXRF report, it was found that corn cob powder contains chlorine in highest content of 4.325 % and vanadium the lowest, 0.004 %. Moreover, activated corn cob powder contained 0.563 % silicon followed by potassium 0.491 % and phosphorus 0.478 %. Other elements are present in small amount. It can be seen that these adsorbents are composed of significant amount of some elements.

No Element -			Relative a	bundance (%)
INU	Exilicit		Corn cob powder	Activated corn cob charcoal
1	Chlorine	(Cl)	4.325	-
2	Calcium	(Ca)	3.197	-
3	Silicon	(Si)	1.059	0.563
4	Potassium	(K)	0.970	0.491
5	Phosphorus	(P)	0.229	0.478
6	Aluminium	(Al)	0.052	-
7	Sulphur	(S)	0.050	0.115
8	Iron	(Fe)	0.035	0.009
9	Manganese	(Mn)	0.009	0.003
10	Titanium	(Ti)	0.009	-
11	Zinc	(Zn)	0.005	0.003
12	Barium	(Ba)	0.004	-
13	Vanadium	(V)	0.004	-
14	Copper	(Cu)	-	0.002
15	Chromium	( Cr)	-	0.001

 Table 4
 Elemental Compositions of Corn Cob Powder and Activated Corn Cob Charcoal

# Surface Morphology of Corn Cob Powder and Activated Corn Cob Charcoal

Figure 2 shows the SEM image of corn cob powder. Porous nature of corn cob powder was observed. It has different sizes of pores. SEM image of activated corn cob charcoal is shown in Figure 3. From the SEM images, it can be clearly seen that the corn cob charcoal particles were cluster form and have different size of holes. More pores are clearly seen in activated corn cob charcoal.



Figure 2 Scanning electron micrographic image of corn cob powder



Comparison of Some Physicochemical Parameters of Water Samples after Treatment by Using Corn Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Table 8 and Figure 4 show total dissolved solids (TDS) in water samples before and after treatment by using corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal. Before treatment TDS values obtained on Day 1 to Day VI were higher than the permissible limit of WHO and EPA standards. After treatment with corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal TDS values were found to decrease and lower than the permissible limit. On Day VII, the reduction percents of TDS were 90.5 % and 93.4 %, respectively, from its original TDS value by corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal. It was noted that the higher amount of decrease was observed in water sample treated with corn cob activated charcoal.

Day of collection	TDS(mg/L)	TDS(mg/L) after treatment					
	treatment	Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal				
Day I	720	85.6	56.2				
Day II	706	60.5	50.3				
Day III	680	60.5	50.0				
Day IV	630	55.6	46.5				
Day V	510	68.5	55.2				
Day VI	500	76.5	45.1				
Day VII	460	68.7	47.4				

Table 8Total Dissolved Solid in Water Samples before and after Treatment by Using<br/>Corn Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers

Table 9 and Figure 5 show the total alkalinity of water samples and Table 10 and Figure 6 show hardness of water before and after treatment by using corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal. After treatment total alkalinity values and also the hardness were below the permissible limit. The reduction percents from its original total alkalinity value were found to be 85.0% and 90.5% for corn cob powder and activated charcoal respectively. Reduction percents of hardness from its original value were 76.1% by corn cob powder and 79.2% by activated charcoal. Since the many pores were present in activated charcoal the decreases by charcoal powder was more than corn cob powder.





Table 9	Alkalinity	in	Water	Samples	before	and	after	Treatment	by	Using	Corn	Cob
	Powder an	id C	Corn Co	<b>b</b> Activat	ed Char	coal						

Day of	Alkalinity(mg/L)	Alkalinity (mg/L) after treatment					
collection	before treatment	Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal				
Day I	400	140	100				
Day II	300	140	110				
Day III	400	80	60				
Day IV	380	60	40				
Day V	180	80	60				
Day VI	200	60	40				
Day VII	260	60	38				

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizerS



Figure 5 Alkalinity of water samples after treatment using powder and activated charcoal of corn cob

Table 10	Hardness of Water Samples before and after Treatment by Using Corn Cob
	Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Day of collection	Hardness (mg/L)	Hardness (mg/L) after treatment					
	before treatment	Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal				
Day I	380	200	180				
Day II	260	180	163				
Day III	220	190	175				
Day IV	100	90	83				
Day V	120	80	63				
Day VI	140	87	67				
Day VII	160	91	79				

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers



Figure 6 Hardness of water samples after treatment using powder and activated charcoal of corn cob

Table 11 and Figure 7 show nitrate nitrogen of water samples, Table 12 and Figure 8 show the ammonia nitrogen and Table 13 and Figure 9 show phosphate content in the water samples from agricultural site before and after treatment with corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal powder. By comparison of the results of these parameters of water samples before treatment and after treatment with corn cob powder and its charcoal, it can be clearly seen that all of the measured parameters after treatment are reduced. However, activated corn cob charcoal powder can reduce the pollutants of water more than corn cob powder due to its higher adsorptive property.

Day of	NO <sub>3</sub> -N(mg/L)	NO <sub>3</sub> -N(mg/L) after treatment					
collection	before treatment	Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal				
Day I	23.2	5.9	ND				
Day II	24.0	0.8	ND				
Day III	2.6	1.0	0.4				
Day IV	8.2	2.0	ND				
Day V	21.6	7.0	0.6				
Day VI	9.5	5.2	0.8				
Day VII	7.5	3.6	ND				

Table 11	Nitrate Nitrogen of Water Samples before and after Treatment by Using	Corn
	Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal	

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers



Figure 7 Nitrate nitrogen of water samples after treatment using corn cob powder and corn cob activated charcoal

Day of collection	NH <sub>3</sub> -N(mg/L) before treatment	NH <sub>3</sub> -N(mg/L) after treatment					
concetion	before in cutilient	Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal				
Day I	0.35	0.13	0.12				
Day II	0.29	0.11	0.09				
Day III	0.29	0.14	0.12				
Day IV	0.26	0.15	0.13				
Day V	0.24	0.17	0.11				
Day VI	0.22	0.19	0.12				
Day VII	0.22	0.17	0.13				

 
 Table 12
 Ammonia Nitrogen of Water Samples before and after Treatment by using Corn Cob Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizers



Figure 8 Ammonia Nitrogen of water samples after treatment using powder and activated charcoal of corn cob

Table 13	Phosphate of Water Samples before and after Treatment by Using Corn C	ob
	Powder and Corn Cob Activated Charcoal	

Day of collection	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L) before treatment	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> (mg/L) after treatment		
concetion		Corn cob powder	Corn cob activated charcoal	
Day I	0.33	0.10	0.06	
Day II	0.26	0.07	0.04	
Day III	0.24	0.08	0.02	
Day IV	0.21	0.08	0.01	
Day V	0.18	0.05	0.02	
Day VI	0.10	0.03	ND	
Day VII	0.10	0.02	ND	

Day I to Day VII = Water samples after using fertilizer



Figure 9 Phosphate in water samples after treatment using powder and activated charcoal of corn cob

# Metal Composition of Water Samples after Treatment by Using Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

The metal composition of water sample was determined after treatment by using activated charcoals. The results are shown in Table 14. By observation of the results, it was found that the effective adsorbent activated corn cob charcoal reduced the trace metal contents which were non-detectable in all tested water sample.

Table 14	Elemental Compositions of Water Samples by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
	before and after Treatment using Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Flomont _	Elemental content (mg/L)			
Liement -	<b>Before treatment</b>	After treatment		
Fe	0.002	ND		
Cu	0.095	ND		
Pb	0.003	ND		
Cd	0.001	ND		
K	0.003	ND		

### Comparison of Some Physicochemical Parameters of Water Sample after Three Column Filtration by Using Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Water sample collected on the Day I after using fertilizer was treated continuously with three columns filtration using the more effective adsorbent, activated corn cob charcoal. Thereafter, some physicochemical properties of this sample after treatment of three times filtrations are expressed in Table 15. According to the results, it was seen that the more frequent the contact between water and adsorbent, the greater the pollution of water can be reduced.

Table 15Comparison of Some Physicochemical Parameters in Water Sample in 2018<br/>after Three Column Filtration by Using Corn Cob Activated Charcoal

Parameter	Unit	Before filtration	1 <sup>st</sup> time filtration	2 <sup>nd</sup> time filtration	3 <sup>rd</sup> time filtration
TDS	mg/L	720	56.2	42	25
Alkalinity	mg/L	400	100	71	40
Hardness	mg/L	380	300	211	166
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/L	0.35	0.12	0.10	0.01
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/L	23.2	0.01	ND	ND
$PO_4^{3-}$	mg/L	0.33	0.10	0.06	0.01

### Conclusion

In this research, sampling site (common drainage) was chosen to study the environmental contamination by water from agricultural sites in Patheingyi Township, Mandalay Region. In September 2018, from the experimental data, it was observed that first day sample after using fertilizers was more polluted than other days. Moreover, the pollution level was gradually decreased from day II to day VII. It was found that, ammonia nitrogen, phosphate, alkalinity and hardness of all water samples were over the limit of EPA standard. The dissolved oxygen level and Biochemical Oxygen Demand in all water samples were lower than EPA standard, but the Chemical oxygen demand values were over the limit of EPA standard. Thus, the water samples collected in September 2018 were chosen to treat the pollution levels due to agricultural sites. The polluted water samples in September 2018 were treated by filtration using the prepared adsorbents (corn cob powder, activated corn cob charcoal.) to reduce the extent of contamination of water from agricultural sites. All adsorbents reduced the parameters after treatment. In comparing the removal activities, activated charcoal sample can reduce the pollutants more than powder sample. The pollution level caused due to agricultural sites can be reduced by using activated charcoal obtained from food wastes such as corn cob. Corn cob charcoal was more effective adsorbent with more frequent time of filtration.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Myanmar Academy of Arts and Science for allowing to presents this paper. The authors also like to express deepest gratitude to Professor and Head Dr Than Than Win, Department of Chemistry, Monywa University and Professor, ret., Dr Ni Ni Sein for their kind encouragement.

#### References

- APHA. (2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. Washington : 21<sup>st</sup> ed., American Public Health Association
- Bartram, J. and Balance, R. (1996). Water Quality Monitoring: A Practical Guide to the Design and Implementation of Fresh Water Quality Studies and Monitoring Programmes. London : Chapman and Hall
- Bruvold, W. H. and Ongerth, H. J. (1969). "Taste Ouality of Mineralized Water". J. Am. Water Works Assoc., vol. 61, pp. 170-175
- USEPA. (2005). Protecting Water Quality from Agricultural Runoff, Fat Sheet No. EPA. 841. F.05.001. March; 4-8, United States Environmental Protection Agency
- Hegde, H. P. (2009). Textbook of Agrochemistry. New Delhi: Discovery Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., pp. 7-43
- Kumar, S. (2006). Rain Water Harvesting. Pune: Indian Railways Institute of Civil Engineering. 1-55
- Rahman, K. M. A. and Zhang, D. (2018)." Effects of Fertilizer Broadcasting on the Excessive Use of Inorganic and Environmental Sustainability". *Sustainability*, vol.10, pp. 1-15
- Savci, S., (2012), "Investigation of Effect of Chemical Fertilizers on Environment". APCBEE Procedia, vol. 1, pp. 287-292
- WHO. ( 2011). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Incorporating the first addendum World Health Organization, pp. 1-631
- Wimalawansa, S. A. and Wimalawansa, S. J. (2014). "Agrochemical-Related Environment Pollution: Effect on Human Health". *Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture & Health Science*, vol. 3(3), pp. 72-83